

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN JOSE DIVISION

## TIMOTHY O. WILLIAMS,

Plaintiff,

No. C 15-2967 NC (PR)

V.

## CORRECTIONAL OFFICER GOMEZ,

Defendant.

**ORDER OF SERVICE; DIRECTING DEFENDANT TO FILE DISPOSITIVE MOTION OR NOTICE REGARDING SUCH MOTION**

## INTRODUCTION

Plaintiff Timothy O. Williams, a California state prisoner proceeding *pro se*, filed a complaint under 42 U.S.C. § 1983, alleging that Defendant Correctional Officer Gomez used excessive force upon him.<sup>1</sup> For the reasons stated below, the court orders service upon Defendant.

## DISCUSSION

## I. Standard of Review

A federal court must engage in a preliminary screening of any case in which a prisoner seeks redress from a governmental entity or officer or employee of a governmental entity. 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(a). In its review the court must identify any cognizable claims, and dismiss any claims which are frivolous, malicious, fail to state a claim upon which relief

<sup>1</sup> Plaintiff has consented to magistrate judge jurisdiction. (Docket No. 1 at 4.)

1 may be granted, or seek monetary relief from a defendant who is immune from such relief.  
2 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(b)(1),(2). *Pro se* pleadings must be liberally construed. *Balistreri v.*  
3 *Pacifica Police Dep't*, 901 F.2d 696, 699 (9th Cir. 1990).

4 To state a claim under 42 U.S.C. § 1983, a plaintiff must allege two elements: (1) that  
5 a right secured by the Constitution or laws of the United States was violated and (2) that the  
6 violation was committed by a person acting under the color of state law. *West v. Atkins*, 487  
7 U.S. 42, 48 (1988).

8 **II. Plaintiff's Claim**

9 Plaintiff alleges that on September 4, 2014, Correctional Officer Gomez slammed  
10 Plaintiff to the ground and violently sprayed him presumably with pepper spray for no  
11 reason. As a result, Plaintiff suffered injuries to his back. Liberally construed, Plaintiff has  
12 stated a claim that Correctional Officer Gomez used excessive force upon him.

13 **CONCLUSION**

14 1. The clerk of the court shall mail a Notice of Lawsuit and Request for Waiver of  
15 Service of Summons, two copies of the Waiver of Service of Summons, a copy of the  
16 complaint and all attachments thereto, a magistrate judge consent form, and a copy of this  
17 order to **Correctional Officer Gomez at Salinas Valley State Prison**. The clerk of the  
18 court shall also mail a courtesy copy of the complaint and a copy of this order to the  
19 California Attorney General's Office. Additionally, the clerk shall mail a copy of this order  
20 to Plaintiff.

21 2. Defendant is cautioned that Rule 4 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure  
22 requires them to cooperate in saving unnecessary costs of service of the summons and  
23 complaint. Pursuant to Rule 4, if Defendant, after being notified of this action and asked by  
24 the court, on behalf of Plaintiff, to waive service of the summons, fails to do so, he will be  
25 required to bear the cost of such service unless good cause be shown for their failure to sign  
26 and return the waiver form. If service is waived, this action will proceed as if Defendant had  
27 been served on the date that the waiver is filed, and Defendant will not be required to serve  
28 and file an answer before **sixty (60) days** from the date on which the request for waiver was

1 sent. Defendant is asked to read the statement set forth at the bottom of the waiver form that  
2 more completely describes the duties of the parties with regard to waiver of service of the  
3 summons. If service is waived after the date provided in the Notice but before Defendant has  
4 been personally served, the Answer shall be due sixty (60) days from the date on which the  
5 request for waiver was sent or twenty (20) days from the date the waiver form is filed,  
6 whichever is later.

7       3. No later than **sixty (60) days** from the date the waivers are sent from the court,  
8 Defendant shall file a motion for summary judgment or other dispositive motion with respect  
9 to the cognizable claim in the complaint. **At that time, Defendant shall also file the**  
10 **magistrate judge consent form.**

11       Any motion for summary judgment shall be supported by adequate factual  
12 documentation and shall conform in all respects to Rule 56 of the Federal Rules of Civil  
13 Procedure. **Defendant is advised that summary judgment cannot be granted, nor**  
14 **qualified immunity found, if material facts are in dispute. If Defendant is of the opinion**  
15 **that this case cannot be resolved by summary judgment, he shall so inform the court**  
16 **prior to the date the summary judgment motion is due.**

17       4. Plaintiff's opposition to the dispositive motion shall be filed with the court and  
18 served on Defendant no later than **twenty-eight (28) days** from the date Defendant's motion  
19 is filed. Plaintiff is advised to read Rule 56 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and  
20 *Celotex Corp. v. Catrett*, 477 U.S. 317 (1986) (holding party opposing summary judgment  
21 must come forward with evidence showing triable issues of material fact on every essential  
22 element of his claim).

23       5. Defendant shall file a reply brief no later than **fourteen (14) days** after  
24 Plaintiff's opposition is filed.

25       6. The motion shall be deemed submitted as of the date the reply brief is due. No  
26 hearing will be held on the motion unless the court so orders at a later date.

27       7. All communications by the Plaintiff with the court must be served on  
28 Defendant or Defendant's counsel, by mailing a true copy of the document to Defendant or

1 Defendant's counsel.

2       8. Discovery may be taken in accordance with the Federal Rules of Civil  
3 Procedure. No further court order is required before the parties may conduct discovery.

4       9. It is Plaintiff's responsibility to prosecute this case. Plaintiff must keep the  
5 court and all parties informed of any change of address and must comply with the court's  
6 orders in a timely fashion. Failure to do so may result in the dismissal of this action for  
7 failure to prosecute pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b).

8

9       IT IS SO ORDERED.

10      DATED: October 2, 2015

  
NATHANAEL M. COUSINS  
United States Magistrate Judge